

Elementary investigation on Division of Labour – Part 2

[https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.2\(4\).39-56.2018](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.2(4).39-56.2018)

Harshad Dave

Additional General Manager (Retd.), Gujarat State Fertilizers and Chemicals Ltd. (GSFC), Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Abstract

We discussed the “Division of labour Part 1” at a length in previous issue (issue 3) of FIRM. Here we shall take up a discussion on very sensitive and vital part of this article. We learned from the previous discussion that process of division of labour simultaneously emerged with the *classical coordination* as one of its inseparable organs. The originally evolved process of division of labour was without unethical influence of human characteristics.

Here we shall discuss how it got contaminated unethically. Parental yearns/sentiments towards their kids in developed social system and investing their resources unethically to protect their incompetent kids, the process of settlement of fresh generation as per their *typical abilities* and unethical practice there in as well as vital influencing parameters on the same, felt necessity of the time to maintain association with or among two or various habitations/societies, migration of people and knowledge they carried with them, also amalgamation of knowledge between two societies caused a grass root influence on the process of division of labour. I have peeped into the process of settlement of population of fresh generation. It is also discussed on reflections emerged from unethical practices in the process of division of labour and their impacts on the same. Nature and profile of division of labour in the social environment is discussed along with consequential effect of breach of *preconditions* on the process of division of labour. Lastly some joint conclusions on Part 1 and Part 2 are narrated.

Key words: exchange value, imaginary line, preconditions, typical abilities, barbaric abilities, natural abilities, gyp and classical coordination.

JEL Classification: A13, A14, B21, F43, F50, I24, O12, P16, P26, P48, Z1

© The Author, 2018. This article is published with open access at Sumy State University.

Cite as: H. Dave. (2018). Elementary investigation on Division of Labour- Part 2, *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 2(4), 39-56. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.2\(4\).39-56.2018](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.2(4).39-56.2018)

Introduction: We have already discussed the introduction part in “Elementary investigation on Division of Labour Part 1” published in Issue 3.

Literature Review

The analysis of contamination of the process of division of labour:

It is clear from the above discussion that division of labour is an inevitable and spontaneous process in the human society since the day society began to take shape under *classical coordination*. It also evolved in coordination and synchronization with social evolution and it always remained a critical organ of *classical coordination*. The functioning of process of *classical coordination* is impossible without the working and performing of division of labour. We discussed various parameters linked to the process. Unfortunately, our social system evolved with many distinguishes (separations) from wild life system. One of them concerning to our present discussion is development of process of division of labour matching with evolution of the human society.

As the evolution of the human society progressed further, it was in synchronization of appropriate changes in the *classical coordination* also. These changes brought amendment in the prevailing status of division of labour as it (division of labour) is a part of the *classical coordination* only. As far as constitution of **naturally evolved division of labour** at any prevailing socio-economic formation is concerned, it was impartial and

without contamination by human characteristics. But the social environment and issue of existence of surplus population discussed above instigated men to exercise their various abilities on unethical ways and means

also. The unethical applications contaminated the clean and natural process of division of labour. Let us examine how it happens. I shall explain the same by putting some thoughts/views on it.

Thought 1. Production of kids, their growth and process of their merging with self reliant people. A mass of young generation at self reliant age reaches to the imaginary line for crossing it where parents expects them (kids) to become self reliant inside the society and join adult people already there across the imaginary line in the human society. Of course, one should consider some loss of life with any reasons, during the course of their (kids) growing to young age. This imaginary line has parted total population in two regions (self reliant people and growing kids) as already discussed initially.

If a group of men/women was maintaining their survival even with the help of primitive and empirical discoveries and crude inventions achieved at that time as it was in ancient time then it could not be a human society as there was no exchange process. The above two regions of the group living would be more in line with group living in natural wild life system. They would not have any different pattern in the process of imaginary line crossing between the two regions. It was in line with other species of animals and controlled by wild life rule only. The growing kids of them could survive only if they had all the required abilities that were gifted to them by nature. They must be also competent to sustain against geographical challenges and climatic conditions prevailing there. Otherwise, those lacking in it would get vanished before reaching to matured age on occasions and against events of challenge while making living.

There is still one more critical process even in wild life also. This process is crossing the imaginary line. When kid reaches to self reliant age, there commences a transition period. A mother slowly and progressively discourages the dependency of the kids on them to reduce her shelter to zero. In other words, nourishment period gets expired as per natural law. The kids have to learn to make their living on their own. Here also, a part of population gets destructed when the part of the kids failed to take over the burden and challenges to make living independently. We have addressed this zone as a crossing of imaginary line. If we see closely on the imaginary line, it is not a thin line, but, in fact, it is small zone to be crossed and that zone is the transition period during which protective shelter to kids gets vanished progressively. This transition period was natural and innocent in wild life. But unfortunately, it got polluted with unethical acts of men in human society. The deformation sustained by the evolving social constitution due to unethical acts and irregularities in the above process of transition period consistently grew with the development of human society.

Further to this, those who reach to self reliant age have to maintain the competency throughout the tenure of his life for making living. If any of them loses such competency at any of the time during his life span, he maintains his survival on opportunity only till he is not exposed to cope and face a challenge for which the lost ability is inevitable to counter it. This was applicable to all the creatures in the wild life system including ancient and primitive group habitation of men also.

The loss of a kid, at an event of its failure to maintain survival against a challenge, was certainly hurting the feelings of the mother/parents of the kid. It is natural also. They might also have put all the possible efforts to save the kid. They might have wished to avert the ill fate by any means. However, there was no option with them except to accept the fact.

Otherwise, the emotional attachment towards kids is the nature's gift. Every mother/parents wish that their child should remain safe, happy and long lived, irrespective of the potential of its abilities. This expectation and yearn is natural also. The rigid and shrewd laws of nature never honoured the feelings of parents beyond the extent of parent's ability to maintain its (of child) survival. It is also a fact that there were plenty occasions when mother/parents could not protect their kid on an unfortunate event in spite of applying their ability in the support of the kid. That is the limitation of ability of mother/parents; otherwise, it is a verdict of nature.

Remember that we are talking about ancient group living. The tendering of such protection surely claims investment of costly resources for survival earned by mother/parents. This investment could be afforded by mother/parents for a limited time as per natural law discussed before, and nature also put ebb in emotions of the mother/parents as soon as the kid reaches to that limit as the required resources with mother/parents to protect the kid have fallen short or got exhausted. But the *classical coordination* up graded incessantly with a development in the group living that ultimately emerged as human society with introduction of exchange process.

The benefits of DIs, first time, provided a platform where mother or parents found fair opportunities to spare resources necessary to protect weaknesses of their kids to maintain their survival. The possession of the

resources with mother/parents provided shelter to the kids in spite of they (kids) were lacking in competency against list of warranted abilities as per natural law of wild life as well as lacking adequate competency in *typical abilities* also. Moreover, the time span of the shield of such protection could be elongated by the parents for an indefinite length of time depending on the strength of the resources on hand and their capability. Here, there was no time limit but it was far beyond the naturally prescribed age limit of the kids.

We are aware that the *classical coordination* requires minimum labour force to work in productive system founded on DIs. Time and history have proved that requirement of minimum working force was always managed from the incoming flow of people in *gyp*. Natural resources and DIs perpetually provided the fresh work points. Ultimately it satisfied the natural yearn of parents to see their beloved alive in spite they (the kids) lack abilities warranted for maintaining survival in natural wild life system. This was true in initial status of primitive habitation. As years passed, this process prevailed perpetually. The part of the *gyp* that could not be accommodated on any work points to offer them to make their living, again emerged with the same question of parental emotions due to looming threat on them (kids). The persistent urge of parents encouraged a social policy to support and instigate for application of new DIs and exploration of new resources that might generate opportunities of making living for more population. Above cycle forced the human society to develop with more and more DIs.

Many people in *gyp* were unable to struggling against the challenges of wild life and might prove to be weak initially in ancient time. But they got accommodation inside the habitation based on the benefits of DIs that offered him a work point to make living in the habitation and with the application of *typical abilities* with him. Now, after social development, and after passing many thousand years the society was enriched with fresh DIs. However, the incoming flow of people in *gyp* always remained higher. A part of the people from *gyp* failed to secure any work point in the human society in the above described process of division of labour because they got defeated in the internal social struggle for getting a work point. They were struggling to make living within the human society only instead of facing challenges from the wild life system as the developed human society had achieved a safe lead in the struggle against natural threats. Now they were competing with other members of the human society instead of predators. Here, it became a practice where the people in *gyp* had to enter into a struggle to secure a work point. Let us analyse this struggle for work points.

Some of them were with potential support from their influential parents. This is a vital change to be understood carefully. Here, man had to struggle with other men inside the social system only and that struggle became prime one. There were people who proved incompetent in this struggle and could not secure single work point to make their living. But, many of them had parental shelter available for a long time as discussed above. There were people in the same struggle without such shelter as their parents do not have sufficient resources to support their kids in the struggle. Ultimately, some competent people have to abandon the struggle as they cannot sustain for a longer time against those people who have protection of their parents. If you just analyze the wild life system, you will realize that majority failure in making self reliant turned into loss of life, but it is not the case with human society. An analytic scrutiny of the struggle for work point will emerge with a fact that competent people from *gyp* get defeated in the struggle because they do not have any more shelter from parents and emergence to become self reliant compels them either to accept inferior work point compare to the potential of their overall competency or sometimes, they remain deprived of any work point.

This competent mass of people was struggling for survival and unable to find any work point. The incompetent mass was also there. Many of them (incompetent) were attached with and supported by emotional yearns of their parents. The situation tempted emotional parents to think any possible ways and means to help their weak kids to secure work points for them. Here the 'weak' word is attached to the kids mean absence of or weakness in *typical abilities* warranted by the work points in the incompetent kids of influential parents. This was the starting point to pollute naturally evolved, clean process of division of labour and it founded on just to satisfy parental sentiments. There was always an existence of same sentiments in the parents in ancient primitive group living also, but they never found surplus resources on hand in wild life system to satisfy the same yearns. It is the *classical coordination* and constitution of human society that helped them to realize the same. Mother and parents were with same sentiments in wild life also. But they did not have surplus resource on hand. If resources were occasionally with them, they did not have the system to preserve or store them to encase them at appropriate time for the above cause.

It is the constitution of human society that provided facility to accumulate, preserve and hold the surpluses safely by some people. It provided an environment to use the same at appropriate opportunity. "The intense

craving of parents to satisfy the sentiments towards their incompetent kids, surplus resources on hand and evolved social system" created opportunities to utilise the stored surpluses at appropriate time in a way that it ensures to satisfy them yearn. The persistent availability of such opportunities generated a social environment that tempted the unethical characteristics to come out in the form of actions. Otherwise, they (characteristics) were in dormant condition in men. The resources they invested to realize the end results influenced to engender unethical characteristics of other people also against unethical exchange (bribing). These are the ways and means that constituted a mind set in people from which we are unable to come out till date. This is the prime source that contaminated our pure, impartial and naturally evolved process of division of labour.

The position of parents in the society and their incessant demand for fresh work points (later on named "demand of employment") generated a flux of demand to create more work points to manage further accommodation of left out people in the society. Here some intelligent brains were perpetually active to put their efforts for more and more DIs in various fields. The propagating forces for the new discoveries were curiosity, a devotional activity, nature of entrepreneur, expectation of rewards and fame, self satisfaction and many others.

There were intelligent men who coordinated various discoveries to constitute an invention. The propagating forces for new inventions were... expectation of reward, business competition, enhancing defensive or/as well as offensive capabilities, curious mind, fame, desire to make system more efficient, enhanced production, protection of product and storage of same, demand of transportation, communication and many others. These propagating forces and characteristics of men have natural limitations. Sometimes fresh DIs were there but natural resources were in shortage. It, ultimately, reflected in the lagging behind of DIs to cope with the actual demand of fresh additional work points to settle the left out people in *gyp*.

Ultimately it is a fact that the perpetual process of new DIs generated fare possibilities to develop new work points. However, only DIs do not sort out the issue of accommodation of balance surplus population. The application of invention demands for material support (as discussed above). The invented device might be useful to exploit material resources more vigorously or man have to find out new resources of the material support. This vicious cycle never ended. Man had to explore new natural field that might cater the requirement of creating new work points to accommodate beloved surplus population in *gyp*. Otherwise, the demanding flux for the survival of the left-out mass from *gyp* created pressure for new DIs and natural resources for the material support as well as the production of means of survival to upgrade the *classical coordination*.

The above said intense flux always exerted pressure on ruling authority to design such a policy of rule framing that will support and encourage all those who might create new work points irrespective of its harm to the nature, environment, climate and biological cycle of our planet earth as a whole. Even today also, we frequently hear in news for the demand of employment for unemployed people of a nation. Even rulers of most advance countries are not immune from this social problem.

I personally believe that ruler and influential people of well advanced and most literate countries, though they know this fact, make "unemployment" an issue as one of the points of election and contest election with a false promise on it. Even if they keep the promise after getting elected, it gets fulfilled by the ruling (elected) people ultimately contracting harm to natural balance. Majority people either do not know this fact or they deliberately remain aloof from it as if it is not their look out. Ultimately it has become a basket with a snake for the ruling team and no one dares to open it but passes it on to next generation/hierarchy.

Thought 2. Activities in the society, evolutionary progress of the human society, linking of human mind set with the same and their influence on division of labour. Matured people engaged with various activities and making their living in the habitation occupied the work points in the prevailing system at the time. There were occasions getting such places vacant due to death or migration of the people or creation of fresh work points etc in the region of self-reliant people. But such vacancies are not adequate to absorb all the incoming flow of young people (*gyp*) crossing the parting line.

Further to this, the flow of *gyp* is constituted of young people with various *typical abilities* with them. It is also a fact that potential of *typical abilities* vary person to person. It means there were persons with *typical abilities* at different potential in *gyp*. As soon as the journey to cross the zone of subject imaginary line was commenced by the people (fresh youth) in the flow, the scrutiny based on competency with higher potential of *typical abilities* also started. Those who are with competent *typical abilities* should get the work point as per the untold and unwritten *precondition*. The burden of maintaining survival slowly gets shifted on the shoulder of each person who is competent. This was the time where the individual in the *gyp* had to establish

if he had any such *typical ability* that might make him competent to occupy any of vacant work points in the habitation. Every work point needed a share of intellect, labour and dexterity etc. Some of them were remarkably competent enough to survive without extended protection of their parents. They could secure such position with higher competency compare to others due to their potentially high *typical abilities*. Other people from *gyp* at moderate level of competency had to struggle hard to find suitable work point according to individual's potential of *typical abilities*.

I should specifically point out and remind to the reader that the list of abilities necessary for making living in jungle life and that of in the society of human habitation are different. There are so many items (*typical abilities*) in the subject list affiliated to the society. These *typical abilities* only entitle the men as competent to survive and make his living in the society. However, the same *typical abilities* might be neither recognized by nature nor will be helpful to the man to make his living in wild life. Also, the items in the above list of *typical abilities* change time to time as the socio-economic formation of the society changes.

Here I just request to the reader to analyse the society where he/she leaves at present and to have a glancing look on various *typical abilities* with which people are making living in the society. You will also learn that any of the *typical abilities* are not valid and recognized by nature in wild life system. Further to this, the recognized list of *typical abilities* that makes a man entitled for making his living on the same (*typical abilities*) might be different for contemporaneous societies at different geographical locations. Though the list might be different but the perpetual settlement processing of *gyp* remains in the same pattern there. There is a struggle between the people in *gyp* with moderate competency and people with dull competency. The creatures with dull competency have to sacrifice their lives as per law of nature and they make living on opportunity till they encounter the event testing their competency. Unfortunately, our human society is immune from the above law to some extent.

The frustration of parents on looming threat on the life of their weak kids, in the event, when they (kids) failed to prove competent in wild life is now shifted and emerged with a threat if their kids failed to occupy work points for themselves to make their survival in developed society. The interactions among *gyp* to find a work point according to individual's *typical ability* constitute the process of "Division of Labour". We had presumed that this interaction is fair and clean, but unfortunately it has become the most heinous and corrupted as human society evolved and developed with complex productive system that supported the environment for the application of abilities on unethical path by their parents as already discussed above. Otherwise, it was originally fair and justified one. Not only that, the spoiled and contaminated process with partiality, favouring and prejudice in the struggle of finding work point has cultivated every types of seduction in all the systems of the human society. The basic root cause of frustrated people in our society harbours in the above unfair practice also.

Here I point out that the most irritating and gruesome characteristic that developed in men in the human society after capitalistic evolution is...

"Every man expects that the prevailing social system should function most ethically, impartially and without prejudicially as well as perfectly lawfully, but when the same functioning/performing of the society is against his own interest at any occasion or event, it should have enough flexibility to compromise with all the ethics to safe guard the interest even through the unethical means and ways also."

Neither a bourgeois nor a proletariat is immune from this mind-set baring some exceptional cases, but the strength of such exceptions is very faint compare to total population. I recall the words of history books – where the last English king Richard III died in a battle at Bosworth Field, Leicestershire in the year 1485. His last words before he died were "Treason, treason...treason" as history says. But he is the same Richard III who is accused of managing murders of his two nephews in 1483 at the royal residence of the Tower of London and the same act was not a treason, perhaps, in King Richard III's mind. Richard III expected from the people and social system that his deed/action – to manage to declare marriage between Edward IV with Elizabeth Woodville illegitimate after the death of Edward IV when they had two sons, daughters and passed 19 years married life – should be treated as lawful act as prescribed by him. But the King Richard III expected that - the people from the same social system should be loyal to him – and that reflects in his last words – "Treason, treason and treason". This mind set is with all the people from a king to a bagger leaving some exceptional cases.

Many times, I ponder on one question in my mind but I never return with any reliable conclusion. The question is... Can anyone decide if ethics is an invention or a discovery? It is my personal belief that the words like

moral, character; generosity was born on the event of bitter experience of their opposites (antonym) only. Every unethical experience made man to explore for true ethics. This is my personal belief that philosophy and messages of Lord Jesus and Lord Krishna reflects the true ethics against the most unethical ways of life prevailing at the time. Since millions of years to today, I am sure, we never find a low moral lion, or a characterless hyena, or a loafer giraffe but we have plenty men decorated with the same words in our human society. This it-self shows that some of the negative qualities that we find today in our society are our (of man) branded product only.

When men could successfully incorporate benefits of DIs (though very primitive) for making their living, the processing pattern of settlement of *gyp* also sustained with various influences on it. It is necessary to discuss the parameters that exert influence on the pattern of the settlement. We shall discuss only vital parameters.

The parameters that influence the settlement process of *gyp*. I point out to the readers that what I described in few pages are not happenings of a short span of time. It took hundred thousand years and still more. It is also true that this evolution might have passed through many natural disasters like earthquake, tsunami, flood, draught, wave of epidemics and fatal diseases and any type of volcanic devastation. Some of the developed societies might have been destroyed completely with all the progress by evolution putting man again to a point of starting in the area of influence. Sometimes, the survived had to again start from saved culture, infrastructure and knowhow. So, Natural calamities are one of the parameters that influence the settlement, and that causes transformation in the process of division of labour.

However, migration from one place to other place carried some knowledge and experience with the migrating people. The knowledge and experience carried by the migrating people to the new habitation at other place proved to be a valuable benefit as well as curse to the new society. Being a lengthy subject matter, the consequences should be discussed separately, so I do not take more time on it, otherwise, migration has vital influence on the history of economics and pattern of "division of labour". However, migration helped many societies and mankind as a whole to get benefit of explored knowledge directly. They learned about DIs from the people already residing at the place where they migrated. Also, the native people learned from migrating people in the same way. In other words, both exchanged their knowhow. This helped to cut short a period of many years that would have been otherwise taken by either society. The migration and process of amalgamation between migrating people and native people also exerts influence on the process of settlement of *gyp* and division of labour.

Further to this, discoveries of various materials, their characteristics (properties), knowhow of making a substance by processing, reacting or amalgamating one or more materials to produce or develop a typical material with different properties that might be used in one or more productive work of the society emerged with a new discovered or invented material. However, it might happen that the material needed for the subject use is available only at the place where the migrating people were previously habiting. This led to contract an association between two habitations/societies. The association incorporated many other purposes and causes also. Such association also influenced the process of division of labour.

In the same line, when an invention is used successfully in the works and activities of a society, the task of managing the availability of the material for making/manufacturing the invented device and commissioning of the same became inevitable requirement. As far as question of holding of knowhow and knowledge in our mind is concerned, material support and material transfer for its availability is not mandatory. But, it surely warrants the above material support inevitably if the subject knowledge (invention) is to be turned into active device/tool etc manufacturing the same. Further to this, demand of manpower with corresponding intellect and labour ability also emerged with the above requirement of manufacturing. Demand of intellectual ability, in these circumstances, offers an opportunity of fresh work points to the people in the social region of self reliant and matured age. The mixed people have to meet the task of grasping and adopting the new DIs

conducted with the migrating people and host people. Those who could meet with the ability and competency to grasp the same found fair opportunity of getting reward by the social system that might make him managing his living in the society but it is not guaranteed. Ultimately the situation created an environment where the settlement of *gyp* had fair chances and process of division of labour was influenced by the above discussed situation.

There are still more parameters but we limit our discussion here only. The above discussion on the parameters will give an idea how the different parameters influence the settlement of *gyp* and ultimately it influence the process of division of labour.

Analyzing the status of gyp in slightly developed society. Before I proceed to discuss further on division of labour, it is expedient to discuss the status of settlement process for *gyp* in developed society. As we know, *gyp* (grown up young population) is the mass of that part of young generation that reaches the imaginary line for crossing. Many of them remained alive before reaching to imaginary line with the help of their mother/parents that enjoyed the benefits of DIs.

As the society developed with progressive up gradation with the help of fresh DIs, the resultant influence of it reflected in effective agricultural (knowledge and means) activities, animal breeding with associated facilities, exploiting jungle products in bulk quantity and fishing with invented devices and accessories, the defensive remedy against threat from wild life as well as natural odds ultimately resulting into higher productivity and better control on the productive system. Not only that, the bulk yield of targeted product made the system sustainable. The development employed a mass of the population in the social activities that are to be carried out at home or nearby safe vicinity of the place of habitation. This reduced the exposure of man to the risky area of wild life or natural odds.

A status of human society was developed where man felt himself considerable safe from wild life threat remaining inside or near to habitation place. As possibility of exposure to wild life threat reduced, test of ability needed to meet the challenges from wild life system also reduced. As primitive society developed with more and more DIs, the safe environment within the place of habitation became more and more protective and safe against wild life challenges. The threat could not hold its past potential. The ability list to maintain living in a wild life shifted to secondary importance for man living in well protected social system. Instead of it, man bogged into a struggle of survival inside the than human society with the help of *typical abilities* with him.

Moreover, the list of *typical abilities* in the human society was also getting changed periodically with new DIs and demanding flux of associated systems. At least, concern of man with essential abilities list inevitable to make living in wild life got reduced considerably. If we study a threat from wild life and natural challenges to us today, one will agree that threat from wild animals is almost negligible. Effective remedies are invented and discovered against most of the insects and bacteria/daisies. Considerable protections are found out against river flood, cyclone, earth quack, draught, heavy rainfall, hard seasons etc.

However, man is not completely out of looming dangers from odds and evens of nature and wild life. The magnitude of the danger has reduced considerably except in major natural disaster. Numbers of generations passed their life in human society since hundreds of thousands of years with progressive reduction in the above threats. Structure of the society also evolved progressively. Ultimately, the concern, with the original list of abilities that are warranted for the survival in purely wild life system got fainter to a considerable extent. Man evolved with new list of abilities in moderately developed society. Our basic comprehension for *gyp* also changed. It might be explained within a moderately developed society as follow.

The society enjoys advantages of many DIs by using them in the social system. Also, considerable productive capacity could be acquired; supporting social net work is also functioning well in compliance to the demand of productive system. Population strength in *gyp* is scattered in the society and so homogeneously mixed up with others, ultimately it is difficult to separate it out at any time. The struggle is a perpetual process. Part of the population crossing the imaginary line (by age) might find work points. However, the balance *gyp* population did not. The people that could not find work points for survival were unemployed and created a burden on the society. We are aware that there is no apparent provision of any system to destruct surplus population in our social system as it is in the wild life system.

Further to this, if we analyse the people to whom we designate as unemployed after the process of division of labour on *gyp*, we shall learn that it is unjust and unfair to designate all of them surplus, unemployed or incompetent to make living because we have presumed that the process of division of labour is pure, impartial and without contamination of unethical acts of men, but it is not a fact. When they were passing through the struggle for securing a work point, there were moderate competent and incompetent both people were mixed up in the struggle. Simultaneously many of them were beloved of their parents who are with plenty of resources under their command. The parents have ability to protect them irrespective of their age limit as well as incompetence.

If we evaluate as per the natural laws of wild life, the unemployed population had no alternative but to wash their hands from their lives. However, they were/are protected with the sentiments of their parents and by ethical preachers. The status of surplus production of life supporting consumables gave a hope for their survival. However, all the days are not same. The events of the days of shortage emerged with the question

that someone should be deprived of from the share. This was nothing but a new mode of struggle of survival and this struggle was not with any animal outside the society but among the men inside the society only. Ultimately, human society became a processing plant with following major perpetual activities.

The list of perpetual activities. Following activities are generally carried out in the society ceaselessly (incessantly):

- working force of men were employed for productive activities to arrange for life supporting essentials, things and material of necessities, conveniences etc. (constitutes work points);
- working force of men were employed for associated activities to produce facilities, accessories, other logistics, storing, protecting and transporting, communicating etc. (constitutes work points);
- some people were employed in social services, defensive works, exploration of resources, caretaking of young generations, etc. (constitutes work points);
- working of some people intellectually on social issues like hindrance to productive system, inconveniency to the people to find out remedies for the same, also to make fresh dis to satisfy the flux of persistent demand for getting a remedy to social problem. (constitutes work points);
- efforts of parents to satisfy their sentiments towards their kids in the above struggle irrespective of their (kid's) abilities. (creates possibility to exercise unethical applications of their abilities);
- making efforts to find a work point by the kids as discussed and incompetency of the kids reflected in the failure to capturing any work point to make their living. (an addition to social burden);
- arrangement of various types of materials for making devices, shelters, putting inventions to use for social system/men. (creates work points);
- unlimited population production and sentiments of parents towards them in their actions and works. nourishing and growing the kids till they cross the parting line successfully irrespective of their level of competence;
- ethical and unethical attempts of parents to secure a work point for their kids irrespective of their competency. they invest their valuable resources to realize the above task. (it contaminates the evolved impartial process of division of labour and creates seduction and peril in the society);
- bearing and facing with ups and downs of climatic and seasonal conditions. (failure in it balances over growth of population. creates possibility to enjoy unnatural support from parents by the weak kids);
- facing natural calamities and again standing up from that loss. (warrants for resettlement to normal routine).

The above all the activities were simultaneous and perpetual. But the process of capturing work points according to opportunities and abilities originates "Division of Labour". It should be fair. It was pure and fair when it was evolved and remained natural. But it never remained fair and natural for a longer time in any socio-economic formation. The unfair practice in division of labour nurtured the society with seductions, corruption, immoral activities and cropped up many other poisons in the society.

Thought 3. Consequential reflections of unethical practices in the process of Division of Labour. Please read following points carefully. When kids are born, part of them loses their lives before they reach to the parting line. Then the saved mass (*gyp*) crosses the parting line. Here also, there might be loss of lives in the struggle for work point. The strength of *gyp* crossing the parting line in medieval society was surely larger than that of it would have been in the human establishment in ancient/primitive time in past. It means loss of life during the zone of travel from birth to matured age (reaching at parting line) got reduced percentage wise due to benefits of up gradation in *classical coordination*.

The numbers of loss of life during crossing the parting line also reduced progressively in line with development of the society. Because, the parents could manage more spare resources for their incompetent kids as benefits of DIs were available in abundance to rescue them.

A part of the people (mass) in *gyp*, after crossing the parting line, managed to occupy suitable work points that assured reasonably to make living/survival in the prevailing society. However, there was still a mass of residual population strength in *gyp* that did not find single work point but they crossed the parting line and managed to harbour in the society to make their living. If it was a rule of nature and wild life system, they

might be living on opportunity only. But such opportunity does not have a long span of time in wild life system. Here it is social system and unfortunately (as per wild life ethics) and fortunately (as per social ethics of the time) they make their survival for an indefinite but longer time.

Moreover, those who found work point should have one or more *typical abilities* as warranted by the work point. There always remained a struggle among *gyp* to capture a work point. However, unethical but successful endeavour by parents for securing work points for their incompetent kids without having *typical ability* (or with weak *typical ability*) placed them (incompetent kids) on various work points with the help of partiality, bribing, favouring etc (in unethical ways and means). It is a serious breach of *precondition*.

Now, if the situation in the society at any point on time line is studied or evaluated, one will realise that total mass of the *gyp* was splitted into two parts. One part is of those who found work points, we say them *gyp1*. Second part is of those who did not succeed to get a work point but still survives, and we say them *gyp2*.

If the process of division of labour would have been pure and without partiality, those who secured work points would be the youth from *gyp* that are with better *typical abilities* compare to those who could not managed single work point. Unfortunately, the process of division of labour is contaminated as discussed before. Mothers/parents of weak kids managed to secure work points for their incompetent kids with unethical means and ways using surplus resources on their (mothers/parents) hands. The ultimate status was that the work points in the society were used to be occupied by competent as well as incompetent people. Here *gyp1* and *gyp2* both incorporate competent as well as incompetent people in view of *typical abilities*. Otherwise, if the process of division of labour was fair enough with exclusively on ethical base only, *gyp1* should never hold (incorporate) even single incompetent person.

On other side, *gyp2* might also incorporate both types of people, competent and incompetent. It is because, generally availability of work points remains short and the competent people that could not secure a work point through the struggle had to remain among *gyp2*. But, unfortunately, the struggle has always remained polluted with partiality, favouring and corruptive etc it deprived of competent people finding place in *gyp1* and they have to remain among *gyp2*, when incompetent mass secured work point under the shelter of their parents as discussed above. So, there were a mass of competent people as well as a mass of incompetent people in *gyp2* too.

If you carefully study the above situation, you will agree that human society was getting trapped into such a marry-go-round from which the man was not going to come out of it in future. Even today we have not come out of it.

Our impartial study of nature and wild life will surely introduce us with one vital fact. Nature has constituted the wild life system. A balance among population strength of all the species is being regulated by perpetual destruction of surplus population in all the species. Every event and occasion of killing and causing death maintain the subject balance. Here we find that nature has always attacked all the creatures on its weakness when they are killed during struggle and fight. It seems that it is the policy of nature, if a creature is to be destructed; it (nature) kills it through its one or more weaknesses. It is our fabricated ethics that has come to rescue the weak people with some decorative words like pity, mercy, humanitarian ground etc in addition to natural sentiments of mothers/parents.

(NB: Please note that as an author, I am putting my impartial observations based on comparison between natural system and system in human society. I am neither against nor in favour of either side, because it (humanity) is not the subject matter of discussion here.)

When it was purely a wild life only, the list of recognized natural abilities was a limited one for ancient man also. The natural abilities are gifted by God. As explored fresh DIs emerged with a possibility to apply them on natural resources, the domain of recognized abilities also expanded with addition of innumerable and various *typical abilities* in up graded *classical coordination*. Moreover, various *preconditions* were also linked as inevitable conditions to be followed for the smooth functioning of the developing social system. Further to this, the *preconditions* that are to be complied in the process of division of labour to capture a work point with appropriate *typical ability* in the society were violated unethically and incompetent people managed to grab work points in *gyp1* and deprived competent people from the work points.

At the same time, unfortunately, competent people had to bear with their placement in the tent of *gyp2* under victimization of the unethical acts of other people in violation of the concerned *preconditions*. Here weak people were appreciated with a reward of work point and competent people were punished unjustifiably with

deprivation of work point. The unethical actions by sentimental parents played a dominating role in above process of division of labour. That is why *gyp1* and *gyp2* both incorporate competent and incompetent people inside them. If there was no unethical help to weak people (youth) in the struggle for getting work point, there might not be single weak person in *gyp1*. The above irregularity and pollution in the process of division of labour exerts three impacts. Let us look into these three impacts.

Impact 1: The occupation of a work point by incompetent people creates deficient performance and brings substandard output due to inefficient work performance of the weak people on respective work point. The inefficient working results into various issues in the regular functioning of the social systems and institutions. All the remedies to settle the above issues and resultant developed flows in the system open new roads to do further unethical activities.

Impact 2: The unjustified defeat of competent people on unethical ground creates an agony, indignation in the victims and they feel distrust on the prevailing social system. Each man in this mass has his own set of characteristics apart from the above abilities/competency. The set of abilities and the set of characteristics with them bear prime responsibility to constitute their mind set. The above agony/indignation exerts an adverse potential influence on the above mind set. I do not write any more but say that many of the criminals in our society are from this mass as their mind set diverted them to become either retaliatory or to apply their abilities in violation of laws of the society. Many of them were victims of the above partiality during the process of division of labour and they were struggling in *gyp2* with competent ability but without any work point when some incompetent persons secured the same on unethical ways and means.

Impact 3: If we subtract competent people, that were victimized by the unethical acts of influential parents and sustained an unjust in the process of division of labour, from *gyp2*, there will be a residual mass of population in *gyp2* and this mass of people were with very weak *typical abilities* that cannot be accepted at any work point. It means the people in *gyp2* are incorporated with two types of people. One is competent mass of people but defeated or victimised by unethical ways and means. We shall address them as *gyp2a*. Second mass of people is very dull or substandard in competency and they do not have minimum level of overall ability that might entitle them for any work point in the society and we shall address them as *gyp2b*.

Before we discuss further on Impact3, let me explain the profile of practical status of presence of *gyp1*, *gyp2a* and *gyp2b* (here $gyp2 = gyp2a + gyp2b$ in the society and $gyp = gyp1 + gyp2$). These all people are homogeneously amalgamated with each other and residing or making living in the families of the society or individually. One should not presume that these all the peoples (*gyp1*, *gyp2a* and *gyp2b*) are just making living in separate divisions or platoons as we find in army. If you concentrate on one well to do joint family in the society and analyze it, you might realize that every family member of a joint family might belong to any one or more mass out of three - *gyp1*, *gyp2a* or *gyp2b*. It is a mistake to presume that all the persons in a wealthy family belong to *gyp1* only. You might reveal that one (or more) of the family members that actually belongs to *gyp2b* mass, but he/she is making living in the family sharing equal living standard. But they enjoy the living standard that, otherwise, they could not have secured on their self-competency. The bourgeois of capitalistic

society have encouraged and trained paid religious preachers to teach people that it is because of their splendid fortune and favourable star with them (incompetent kids). Unfortunately, the paid preacher does not know that he himself is enjoying the work point of preacher as a part of unethical acts by the parents to render such duty.

No doubt, a perpetual and slow churning process in society extracts such incompetent mass to get separated. Such people sustain a propagating force that pushes them to get detached from the family support. As they find reduction in family support, the incompetency surfaces out more and more on events and occasions while they try to make their living. They have to adopt low living standard. This retarding journey leads them to poverty and drags to a destiny we see in slum area (or area of poor people). But, be careful, the slum area is occupied by two types of people (*gyp2a* and *gyp2b*) where competent people (*gyp2a*) are also making their living there.

Let us come back to our discussion again.

Further to this, as I said above that the churning process is very slow compare to life span of men, there are fair chances that some of the members from *gyp2b* might pass their life happily with the family members without serious discrimination. At the same time, it is also a fact; those who were pushed to slum area because of their incompetence to making their living (*gyp2b*), they might have their kids competent enough to

challenge most able people in the mass *gyp1*. Here is the clumsy situation in human society. The human society that has evolved with basic principle on an untold-unwritten *precondition* that those who possess one or more competent *typical abilities* in demand might have opportunity to survive under the benefits of DIs as discussed above in this article. But, unfortunately, sentiments of parents jeopardised the *precondition* with unethical means and ways. The evolved human social structure provided supportive environment to the parents to exercise their unethical acts that founded on their inherent characteristics.

If we study and examine the status of *gyp2b*, we will realize that *gyp2b* mass has either no *typical abilities* or they have weakest of them compare to others. An invisible question bites man since a day long back in history of human society and that question is "At whose cost does this mass (*gyp2b*) survive?" As discussed above, the individual members of the mass *gyp2b* harbours at different places and they enjoy equal living standard as enjoyed by the competent people in *gyp1*.

As possibility of accommodation of further population could be realized with social development as discussed above, the total population strength progressively increased in folds with successful up-gradation in *classical coordination*. The above process returned with a large population mass in *gyp2b*. The status of mass in *gyp2b* is so homogeneously amalgamated in the social net work as well as with *gyp1* and *gyp2a* that one cannot locate or spot out any individual authentically at any time as a member of *gyp2b* but they are there in huge strength in the society. Nature has arranged all the perpetual destruction processes to eliminate (destroy) incompetents incessantly in wild life system, but, unfortunately our social system is now substantially immune from that processes. We do not have any appropriate remedy for the destruction of *gyp2b* even at present and we could not make our system to destruct them. They are the undetectable parasites of social system irrespective of our ethical theory and philosophy of humanitarian ground. But, no individual might be addressed as a member of *gyp2b*. No man has authority to declare any person as a surplus one belonging to *gyp2b*. Also, it is not fair, just to label that people in slum area only belong to *gyp2b* exclusively because it is a genetic fact that a kid of two incompetent persons might turn out to be most competent. The kids that are born in poor area (slum area) have very tough road and hard journey to reach to imaginary line and compete for a work point. Unfortunately, they have to accept the status of being in *gyp2a* since their birth. They are also the indignities and agonized mass of people in the society.

But it is a fact that this is a huge mass of people that exists in advance society and people there in *gyp2b* survive with one or other ways and means, till the opportunity permits. This situation has changed the ratio between "living on opportunity" and "living on competency". The magnitude difference between the above ratios of any two socio-economic formation reflects the real level of unethical activities prevailing in the society.

Now study the following summarized points carefully that might give you the clear idea of present society in which population gets segregated as per above discussion.

- There is a large population strength that survives on the benefits of DIs with material support from natural resources and under the *classical coordination*.
- There is a big mass of people who do not have minimum required over all ability to make living in the present human society. They make their living on opportunity as discussed before in this article. We address this part of population as *gyp2b* as discussed above.
- It is a mistake if we think that *gyp2b* are residing in separate group or area in the society. The members of *gyp2b* harbour everywhere in the society, however, a slow social process pushes them towards various areas of the society where risk of life loss is more.

It is equally possible to find members of *gyp2b* as a member of wealthy family. They might be among *gyp1* (with unethical blessings of his parents/guardians) and they are available also in slum area randomly making living there. They might be found as a victim of drug addiction or among homeless roaming people also. It is impossible task to determine for a man/woman in the society by any member of the society if he/she is competent for the established self-reliant people, *gyp1*, *gyp2a* or he/she is from *gyp2b*. But, time and circumstances determine it and we have no go but to accept it in capitalist society. The people in *gyp2b* make their living on opportunity only but it is not the wild life system they live in. They get long time span in this opportunity in our social system.

- If any member from gyp2b is making living comfortably, either he is enjoying it under the application of unethical ways and means or he is not from gyp2b. In other words, he is making his living in a wealthy family or in other safe place under a breach of preconditions.
- There are two serious and prime violations of natural laws of wild life in human society.
- The first violation is existence/survival of a part of total population that do not have minimum level of typical abilities to make living in the society, in spite of it they find a long-time opportunity to survive. The constitution of human society is with one of the preconditions that those desired to make living in social system (based on classical coordination), they must possess some typical abilities with adequate potential to make living in the society. But there are plenty of people living in the society breaching the subject precondition.
- As discussed above, development and application of typical abilities warrant for a classical coordination among application of inventions/discoveries, application of labour power and natural resources. This classical coordination is possible only if the preconditions on which evolution of human society is cropped up (founded) are honoured. But men violated it with unethical means and ways as discussed above. The violation of preconditions is the first breach in general.
- Let us look for the second violation. It is the unbeaten law of nature that those do not have adequate and appropriate abilities of required potential are making their living just on opportunity. They all must wash their hands from their existence as per the law of nature, but on event and occasion. Also, the span of time limit of above opportunity generally does not hold any longer. It is the abnormality (flow) of our social system that substantial mass of people of total population make their living on the above said opportunity for an indefinitely long time. It is the weakness of process of classical coordination that constituted the human society but it never gave any process/system of destruction of incompetent people and it is the prime cause that our social system founds erroneous against perfectness of natural wild life system.
- “Existence of the incompetent people in the society” is the first one and “absence of any remedy for destruction of this people in the system net-work of the same society” is the second serious violation of natural laws.
- Both the violations are founded on parental sentiments, availability of surplus resources with parents to support their kids, and unethical applications by parents to safeguard their incompetent kids.
- It means, right from beginning, our human society is evolved with two serious violations as above. Of course, the potential, profile and nature of above subject violations also adopted the evolved transformations in line with prevailing socio-economic formation progressively and in compliance with over all evolutionary development of the human society.
- That is why, if you read the world history between the lines you will realize that unethical applications of competent people were in abundance in past also. But, in present time the patterns and profiles of the applications are changed as per socio economic formation of the prevailing time.

If we keep above points in our mind and evaluate the various influences that were exerted on the naturally evolved process of division of labour, we might have idea of overall impact of unethical activities by men on the process of division of labour and magnitude of contamination in the same.

[NB: The Republic is a Socratic dialogue, written by Plato around 380 BC, concerning justice, the order and character of the just, city-state, and the just man. In the book's dialogue (book II), Socrates discusses the meaning of justice and whether or not the just man is happier than the unjust man with various Athenians and foreigners. They consider the natures of existing regimes and then propose a series of different, hypothetical cities in comparison. This culminates in the discussion of Kallipolis, a hypothetical city-state ruled by a philosopher king. They also discuss the theory of forms, the immortality of the soul, and the role of the philosopher and that of poetry in society. The speech and dialogue among Glaucon, Adeimantus, and Socrates on argument of Thrasymachus on justice and injustice reflect some glimpses that I want to convey here regarding some human characteristics concerning to influence the process of division of labour. Readers may like to go through the same.]

The nature and profile of the process of division of labour in above discussed social environment. The subject matter of “Division of Labour” should be conversed keeping above discussed facts and points in our mind. We shall discuss the same point wise,

- An incessant flow of new born kids passes through the process of growing and ultimately constitutes a mass *gyp*.
- A process of struggling for crossing the imaginary line and finding place among grown up people to make living in the human society commences.
- The mass *gyp* slowly gets segregated into *gyp1*, *gyp2a* and *gyp2b*. The segregation process is the process of division of labour.
- This segregation process should be impartial and without any unethical support but purely on the competitiveness of the person as per untold-unwritten *preconditions* that generates the constitution of human society by way of social evolution and as a part of *classical coordination*.
- Unfortunately, the segregation process never remained immune from unethical acts of men.
- The process of division of labour has always become one of the prime sources responsible for development of social stress and strain in the minds of the people due to the victimization of unethical applications by some people.
- The contamination in the process of division of labour due to unethical applications by men (parents) generated plenty of able people with resentment and indignation.
- It also induced and encouraged society to cropping up with incompetent people enjoying better living at the cost of competent people in the society.

But natural laws never support this situation.

Our human society is immune from many natural laws, but this immunity retains till the *preconditions* are complied. As we breach more and more *preconditions*, the immunity shield becomes weaker and weaker. The power and intensity of natural laws penetrates the above immunity shield through the above spots of weaknesses and applies in human society. We many times face wild life remedies in our society on occasions and events and oppose such happenings. But, foundation of such wild life happenings in human society is rested on the breach of *preconditions*.

Let us have a close look on these misalignments and irregularities caused by the unethical applications as discussed above.

When man was in primitive life, he made his living on his natural abilities only. We all are aware that any conflict between two creatures generally gets settled by the application of natural abilities with them to uphold one's own interest. Both were at liberty to apply their all the possible abilities to overpower the rival. However, it is a fact; both were with their natural abilities only. Generally, such struggle and conflict might result into killing or mortal injury to either or both the parties also. It might result into safe escape of either party before end.

But the instinctive knowledge had taught each and every creature to remain aware or conscious about its own limitations before entering (or during) a conflict/struggle to safeguard or realize its interest. Either side might have to abandon its claim and might manage to escape from the worse. So, it is self explanatory that a set of various abilities remains on test during the settlement of differences or conflict on interest in common between two. Generally, we recognize this set of abilities as barbaric abilities. The creature that exhibits more powerful barbaric abilities, it upholds its claim. It is the valid ethics of wild life.

Here, there was no place of ethics according to our present comprehension that is defined and understood by man today. Wild life system has its own ethics, but it can never be comparable with human social system. I believe our comprehension and recognition of ethics changes as per socio economic formation in which we make our living. The understanding and philosophy of ethics that was adopted by the people living in the age of Plato were different in the age of Abraham Lincoln and Marx.

The ethics of wild life is same even today as far as wild life system is concerned. Perhaps we cannot grasp it (wild life ethics) with correct interpretation. It implies that an event in wild life that seems to be unethical to us today might be a valid ethical happening of wild life since thousands of years. We should not apply our comprehension of ethics to other socio-economic formations. Now, let us come back to our line of discussion.

Let us take up the case of present society. Generally, if there is a difference of opinion or struggle for interest in common that might attract a conflict between two, it is recommended to settle it with the help of discussion,

negotiation and within the frame work of established laws and precedents for the same in present advanced society. The practice to settle it as per the wild life culture (i e through barbaric abilities) is eliminated and discouraged progressively as human society developed with social evolution.

In most of the cases, philosophers say that man became civilized progressively with his wisdom and making his choice to settle issues through discussion and understanding lawfully as above, otherwise, that would have attracted a conflict and strife to settle it. I think it is not fair conclusion/observation. Man learned to settle it peacefully only because the evolved *preconditions* of the social system did not permit to settle it through above discussed wild life method with barbaric abilities. The emergence of above discussed *classical coordination* that founded on “application of DIs”, “making availability of material resources” and “employing manpower labour” for the same to realise targeted higher productivity to settle the higher population growth introduced *preconditions*. Here one of the *preconditions* was that “such classically coordinated system might work only if issues of difference of opinion and conflicts on common interests are settled within some prescribed frame work of laws instead of application of barbaric abilities”. This was the foetus of “Rule of law”.

If the subject *precondition* is not complied with, the members of the society and every occasion of the conflict are settled with barbaric abilities and that will not allow the evolved essential and inevitable systems of the society to function. The *classical coordination* might get jeopardised inflicting harm to productive system. Any interruption in the productive system is a threat on survival of major mass of the population. It also invades and threatens the already vested interests of many people in the society. This situation enforces man to comply the *preconditions*. The enforced compliance of such *preconditions* was not a wilful choice but it was the helplessness against growing population strength and it was an inevitable exclusive option. The people with powerful barbaric natural abilities with them would never have liked to settle any differences through civilized methods as discussed above that might fall against their interests. But prevailing situation of the social opinion might have exerted pressure on such people on occasions and events of conflict to sort out it within the socially designed frame work only.

Settlement of any issue through the application of all the natural abilities (as happens in wild life and discussed above), we recognize it as “barbaric method” after we became civilized. Every step forward in the direction of development (up gradation) in the *classical coordination* compelled men to control and suppress more and more their jumping desire to apply barbaric abilities. However, though the potential of barbaric abilities had to be regulated and suppressed, it could not be completely eliminated from the heritage characteristics of men. Even today, the people with high potential of barbaric abilities with them had to suppress and control their barbaric spirit with them on the events and occasions when they have to sustain against their interests by the verdict of *preconditions* (social laws and precedents) of evolved social constitution.

I request to the reader to go through the history of world and you will realize that every socio-economic formation in progressive direction returns with reduction in and discouraging of barbaric method of settlement of conflicts or difference of opinions. One will not have to go much back into history when challenging for a “Duel” was one of the popular methods to settle a dispute between two till in the 19th century and before. The Sixteenth president of USA also reached, on one occasion, just near to enter and fight a duel with his political opponent. But, with the timely and wise mediation of friends, it was luckily dropped out at last moment. (Be assured that we are not diverted from our subject matter “Division of Labour”).

We have already discussed in this article as above that verification of competency in natural abilities remained prime one when applications of DIs were very scarce initially in ancient primitive time. As the application of DIs increased or dissemination of *classical coordination* spread in depth and width in folds, a social system evolved where *typical abilities* became a prime demand and set of natural abilities (including barbaric abilities) was slowly pushed to side column. But it remained there in the side column always. Even today, we all have a set of natural abilities in side column. The set of natural abilities incorporates a sub set of barbaric abilities also. Our advanced social system does not provide and support application of barbaric abilities to satisfy our needs, wants, yearns and lusts through wild life pattern.

Of course, the potential and power of the barbaric abilities in each individual might be different as it used to happen in wild life system also. If a social system suddenly collapses with any reason and functioning of *classical coordination* disrupts, all the *typical abilities* lose their recognition in the prevailing system and productive system collapses. The dormant and suppressed barbaric abilities suddenly find appropriate social environment where majority of *preconditions* are dishonoured by most of the people of the society. The

difference of opinion and interest in common get settled through the barbaric method only and we say that society has gone under jungle rule.

Consequence of breach of *precondition*. When a *precondition* is breached in the society, it generates harmful impulses in the minds of the people who have knowledge of the breach and a direct concern for the same.

- The people, who became victim with a loss due to the breach of the *precondition* contract indignation in their minds, distrust for the system and retaliatory feelings.
- The people who enjoyed the profit or advantage through the above breach contracted courage to proliferate the practice of breaching *preconditions* more effectively and frequently.
- The people who were observer or helpless spectacles of the event, some of them contracted distrust in the system; some people emerged with yearn to adopt such practice to breach *preconditions* if opportunity permits them and earn unethical advantage out of it.
- Some of them became helpless sympathizer for the victims or remained as opportunistic observers to oppose or favour it or to make indirect advantage out of it.

This is talked for one breach and single event only, but practically there are innumerable breaches of numerous *preconditions* on events and occasions and each creates impulses as above. Integration and accumulation of above impulses generates an environment in the society that environment becomes the root source of most of the social evils. We should never forget that the process of division of labour also takes place in the above environment only and it also gets victimised by consequential effect and we say it a contamination to the process of division of labour.

The above generated environment creates fear, distrust, agony for retaliation, feelings of hate, ill-wish in the minds of the people who are victimised by unethical applications of some people. The society floods with various other reactions concerning to human feelings and characteristics in a mass of people. At the same time, the same environment encourages a mass of people to secure their gain, to appease their sentiments, to satisfy their needs, wants and yearns even by over ruling the *preconditions* as and when it is warranted. However, the evolved constitution and net work of our society is such that breach of *preconditions* attracts a conflict with social system on every event and occasion. No individual can survive against a conflict with a social system for a longer time even if he has a most powerful set of natural abilities and potential influence on social system. This situation creates an untold unwritten demand to find out ways and means that ensures least conflict with social system; at the same time it should promise safe and successful breach of *preconditions* to realize the targeted benefits. The above said ways and means are already evolved with social evolution and the same might be explained as follow.

Step 1:

Those who were with powerful barbaric natural abilities and social potential, they had to make an untold unwritten association among them (like minded). If we go through the history of mankind, we shall find such association with a tacit understanding among them when it is an act of breach of *precondition* to grab or exploit or usurp a benefit from those who are weak in their barbaric natural abilities. It reflects in unity and cooperation among wealthy people, bourgeois, people holding means of productions etc when the issue has a concern with a proletariat, labour or slave.

Step 2:

When social system evolved with up gradation in *classical coordination*, naturally, the development also incorporated various fresh *preconditions*. When *preconditions* are there, there is always remedial punishment for one who breaches it. Here they require agents working and making living in the social system to help to nullify the concerned remedial actions determined to restrict such breach of *preconditions*. The services of such agents become plausible only if they activate unethical qualities in them. Ultimately it (act of creating agent as above) returns with the source/origin of unethical development in the social system and indirect encouragement to crop up low morale people in the public services as well as in ruling party.

Step 3:

We have already discussed that incoming fresh generation gets segregated in *gyp1*, *gyp2a* and *gyp2b*. We are also aware that incompetent people harbour also in *gyp1*, moreover, *gyp2b* is a set of segregated incompetent people only but they all are amalgamated homogeneously in the society. The people in *gyp2a* are competent

but with indignation. The above situation becomes a most convenient environment to find out required agents for the above task. The people in *gyp2b* are incompetent but it does not mean that their dreams to become a wealthy bourgeois are also weak. When an incompetent man is with a dream beyond his overall ability, he is the most hopeful and lucrative victim for a thug. It is very easy to activate unethical characteristics in them by the people with competent barbaric natural abilities. This becomes a powerful source of social seduction.

Step 4:

As the above discussed *classical coordination* expanded more, a demand to curb and curtail influence and application of barbaric abilities to settle any social issue became intense, expedient and inevitable. If we concentrate on the people that are with strong barbaric abilities, we might realize that there are some people with powerful barbaric abilities but they are also diplomatic to compromise against the felt necessity of the time and ready to adopt the restriction on application of barbaric abilities. However, some people are also with powerful barbaric abilities but cannot recognize the demanding situation of the time. Such people had to slowly vanish from the social stage as society evolved (developed) further with the *classical coordination*. The change from imperialism to feudalism might be analysed with above concept/thought. The changes from imperialism to feudalism and feudalism to democratic capitalism seem to be the best example of this fact. We will realize that on every change the barbaric abilities were brought under control and regulation progressively.

If we sharply analyse the process of above changes and at the same time inevitability of unity among them while dealing with people from *gyp1*, *gyp2a* and *gyp2b*, we shall learn that each man has to act with dual personality as per time and circumstances. The struggle among the people with powerful barbaric abilities in a society, at the same time, inevitable demand of association among them against the people with weak natural abilities as discussed in step 3 created an environment that cropped up opportunistic and selfish people in the society. Not only that, but they always encouraged low morale people to occupy work points in the social system. The occupation of low morale people ensured cooperation in their task to apply their abilities and resources unethically and violating the *preconditions* for targeted end results.

The subject matter is deep and wide; however, I hope, reader might have realized from the above four-step discussion that how the consequences of breach in *preconditions* influenced the process of division of labour in particular as well as contamination in the process of division of labour that ultimately generated pollution in social system in general.

Closing words

(For Part 1 and Part 2)

The process of "Division of Labour" among emerging young generation is an inevitable requirement if *classical coordination* for higher productivity is to be secured to support existence of growing population strength and propensity to satisfy needs, wants and yearns of some people. Process of division of labour is an inseparable part of *classical coordination*. However, the constitution of the process of division of labour has never remained purely natural and ethical for a longer time. The process of division of labour is severely fermented due to the applications of abilities of some people through unethical ways and means and in violation to the *preconditions* of the social evolution. Moreover, unethical acts of such people contaminated the social environment for their targeted selfish end and that ultimately resulted into encouraging the awaking of unethical qualities in working people just to make their unethical applications successful. At present, it seems, it is impossible to keep the most vital and prime process of "Division of Labour" immune from the influence of above said contaminating social environment, but at least we must know it. If we presume and treat the process of division of labour as an innocent and clean process in economics and conclude vital issues of economics based on our presumption, such outcome and conclusions of our contemplation on them can never be reliable, rather it will be misleading and drag the fresh scholars of the subject matter into confusions. Young fresh scholars of economics must have knowledge of the contamination of division of labour as discussed in this article.

Conclusion

(For Part 1 and Part 2)

➤ The intellectual efforts of man, his contemplation on various issues returned with DIs. Man found possibility to survive with the help of applying the DIs on natural resources and tendering required labour power in a classically coordinated way.

- The *classical coordination* realized the possibility of survival of those people who were lacking enough potential in warranted natural abilities to make living in wild life.
- The system of applying necessary labour power as above gave birth to a process named "Division of labour" and the process of division of labour became part of the *classical coordination*.
- The *classical coordination* also encouraged bringing out *typical abilities* in man. The *typical abilities* are recognized as a valid means to make living in the habitation for the people with weak natural abilities.
- As *classical coordination* progressed to support survival of more population, it compelled the system of group living in a habitation to transform into a human society. But, development of constitution of human society progressed with up gradation in the *classical coordination* only. The above up gradation in *classical coordination* every time inflicted some *preconditions* to be followed.
- The originally evolved process of division of labour was pure and without partiality and prejudice, but breach in the *preconditions* with unethical ways and means by men created environment to spoil the process of division of labour.
- People applied their abilities with unethical ways and means to satisfy their sentiments, needs, wants and yearns. It not only contaminated the process of division of labour but resulted into corrupted and seducing human society.
- The evolution and development of human society progressively enhanced the prevalence of the *classical coordination* in multiple folds. The proliferation of the *classical coordination* introduced numerous *preconditions* to be complied in the evolved social systems for their efficient and healthy functioning.
- The *classical coordination* compelled to push the barbaric abilities in side column and *typical abilities* became basic and prime for the survival and well being of men. Breach in the *preconditions* spoiled the overall social system and contaminated the process of division of labour in particular.
- The contaminated process of division of labour and spoiled social system provided an environment that gave a way to the suppressed barbaric abilities on opportunities and awaked unethical qualities from dormant condition in the other people. This generated a flow of unethical activities in social system with an initiation of a slow chain reaction to crop up low morale people from which we never came out till date.
- The polluted process of division of labour has segregated (polarized) total gyp population in three zones gyp1, gyp2a and gyp2b as discussed but in mixed up condition. This provided an opportunity to incompetent people to survive (even to make living with higher standard) even against the natural laws.
- The innumerable breach in the preconditions ultimately rotten the immunity shield of the society from the harsh application of natural laws. The weakness in the protective shield gave exposer to social system against natural wild life laws to smash the incompetent population. The victimisation of the breach of preconditions and indignation generated due to it introduced stress and strain in the society.
- When we talk about vital topics of economics and tender our hard efforts by contemplating on them to arrive with some reliable out come on them, it is mandatory to be considerate of facts and reality. The process of division of labour is one of the prime and vital topics of economics. I personally believe on the basis of above thinking that our evaluation and analysis of process of division of labour should not be founded on its pure naturally evolved form, but we should have considerations of all the aspects and parameters also that have contaminated it.

Reference

1. Thompson Michael J. (2016). Introduction: What Is Critical Theory? Department of Political Science, William Paterson University, Wayne, NJ, 07470, USA, *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Theory*, doi.10.1057/978-1-137-55801-5_1].
2. Lefteris Tsoulfidis. (2017). Economic theory in historical perspective. *The journal of Philosophical economics: Reflections on economic and social issues*, 10(2) - ISSN 1843-2298].
3. Human Requirements and Division of Labor Under the Rule of Private Property and Under Socialism. Division of Labor in Bourgeois Society. Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844. Third Manuscript.

4. David Ricardo. (1951). The works and correspondence of *Edited by Piero Sraffa with the Collaboration of M. H. Dobb*, Volume 1, On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation, liberty fund, Indianapolis.
5. S. M. Mac Vane. (1893). The Austrian Theory of Value. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 4, 12-41, Published by: Sage Publications, Inc. in association with the American Academy of Political and Social Science Retrieved from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1009036>, Accessed: 06-06-2018 04:32 UTC.
6. Progress and poverty - An inquiry into the cause of industrial Depressions and of increase of want with increase of wealth, The remedy By Henry George.
7. Robert Schalkenbach (1935). Foundation, 11 park places, New York.
8. Mikael Stenkula Carl (2003). Menger and the network theory of money -. [Euro. J. History of Economic Thought 10:4 587–606 Winter, Mikael Stenkula, Department of Economics, Lund University, PO Box 7082, S-220 07, Lund, Sweden.]
9. General systems theory. The skeleton of science, Kenneth E. Boulding, Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences, 901 Elkridge Landing Road, Suite 400, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, USA.
10. Emile Durkheim. Method edited with an Introduction by Steven Lukes. Translated by W. D. Halls.